

Restraint Use in Long-Term Care

Name	Restraint Use in Long-Term Care
Short/Other Names	Percentage of Residents in Daily Physical Restraints
Description	This indicator looks at how many long-term care residents are in daily physical restraints. Restraints are sometimes used to manage behaviours or to prevent falls. There are many potential physical and psychological risks associated with applying physical restraints to older adults, and such use raises concerns about safety and quality of care.
Interpretation	Lower is better. It means that a lower percentage of long-term care residents were in daily physical restraints.
HSP Framework Dimension	Health System Outputs: Appropriate and effective
Areas of Need	Living With Illness, Disability or Reduced Function
Geographic Coverage	Newfoundland and Labrador, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, British Columbia, Yukon
Reporting Level /Disaggregation	Province/Territory, Region, Facility, Corporation, Sector (residential and hospital-based continuing care)
Indicator Results	Accessing Indicator Results on Your Health System: In Depth

Identifying Information

Name	Restraint Use in Long-Term Care
Short/Other Names	Percentage of Residents in Daily Physical Restraints
Indicator Description and Calculation	
Description	This indicator looks at how many long-term care residents are in daily physical restraints. Restraints are sometimes used to manage behaviours or to prevent falls. There are many potential physical and psychological risks associated with applying physical restraints to older adults, and such use raises concerns about safety and quality of care.
Calculation: Description	This indicator examines the percentage of residents in daily physical restraints. It is calculated by dividing the number of residents who were in daily physical restraints by the number of all residents (excluding comatose residents and those who are quadriplegic) with valid assessments within the applicable time period.
Calculation: Geographic Assignment	Unit of Analysis: Resident Place of service
Calculation: Type of Measurement	Percentage or proportion
Calculation: Adjustment Applied	The following covariates are used in risk adjustment: Individual Covariates: None
Calculation: Method of Adjustment	Facility-Level Stratification: Activities of Daily Living (ADLs) Long Form Scale Stratification, Direct Standardization, Indirect Standardization Standard Population: 3,000 facilities in 6 U.S. states and 92 residential care facilities and continuing care hospitals in Ontario and Nova Scotia
	Description: Residents with valid assessments
	Inclusions: 1. Residents with valid assessments. To be considered valid, the target assessment must
Denominator	a. Be the latest assessment in the quarter b. Be carried out more than 92 days after the Admission Date c. Not be an Admission Full Assessment
	Exclusions: 1. Residents who are comatose (B1 = 1) or quadriplegic (I1bb = 1)

Description:

Residents who were physically restrained daily on their target assessment. For this indicator, restraints included

- Trunk Restraint (P4c = 2)
- Limb Restraint (P4d = 2)
- Chair Prevents Rising (P4e = 2)

Inclusions:

Numerator 1. Residents with valid assessments. To be considered valid, the target assessment must

- a. Be the latest assessment in the quarter
- b. Be carried out more than 92 days after the Admission Date
- c. Not be an Admission Full Assessment

Exclusions:

- 1. Residents who are comatose (B1 = 1) or quadriplegic (I1bb = 1)

Background, Interpretation and Benchmarks

CCRS quality indicators were developed by interRAI (www.interrai.org), an international research network, to provide organizations with measures of quality across key domains, including physical and cognitive function, safety and quality of life. Each indicator is adjusted for resident characteristics that are related to the outcome and independent of quality of care. The indicators can be used by quality leaders to drive continuous improvement efforts. They are also used to communicate with key stakeholders through report cards and accountability agreements.

Interpretation Lower is better. It means that a lower percentage of long-term care residents were in daily physical restraints.

HSP

Framework

Health System Outputs: Appropriate and effective

Dimension

Areas

of

Need

Target

CIHI: None

/Bench

marks

Health Quality Ontario (external): 3% for long-term care

Canadian Institute for Health Information. *CCRS Quality Indicators Risk Adjustment Methodology*. 2013.

Canadian Institute for Health Information. *When a Nursing Home Is Home: How Do Canadian Nursing Homes Measure Up on Quality?* 2013.

Health Quality Ontario. *Long-Term Care Benchmarking Resource Guide*. 2013.

Health Quality Ontario. *Results From Health Quality Ontario's Benchmark Setting for Long-Term Care Indicators*. 2017.

References

Health Quality Ontario. *Health Quality Ontario Indicator Library*. Accessed October 4, 2017.

Hirdes JP, Mitchell L, Maxwell CJ, White N. *Beyond the "iron lungs of gerontology": Using evidence to shape the future of nursing homes in Canada*. *Canadian Journal on Aging*. 2011.

Hirdes JP, Poss JW, Caldarelli H, et al. *An evaluation of data quality in Canada's Continuing Care Reporting System (CCRS): Secondary analyses of Ontario data submitted between 1996 and 2011*. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 2013.

Jones RN, Hirdes JP, Poss JW, et al. *Adjustment of nursing home quality indicators*. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2010.

Availability of Data Sources and Results

Data Sources

CCRS

Type of Year:

Fiscal

Available Data Years

First Available Year:

2010

Last Available Year:

2017

Geographic Coverage

Newfoundland and Labrador, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, British Columbia, Yukon

Reporting Level

/Disaggregation

Province/Territory, Region, Facility, Corporation, Sector (residential and hospital-based continuing care)

Result Updates

Update Frequency Every year

Web Tool:

Your Health System: In Depth

Indicator Results

URL:

[Accessing Indicator Results on Your Health System: In Depth](#)

Updates

Not applicable

Quality Statement

Users should be cautious when interpreting results from the Continuing Care Reporting System (CCRS) because the CCRS frame does not currently contain all facilities in all provinces and territories that make up the CCRS population of interest; thus the population covered by CCRS may not be representative of all continuing care facilities across Canada.

Caveats and Coverage is incomplete in the following jurisdictions:

Limitations

- Manitoba (includes all facilities in Winnipeg Regional Health Authority only)
- New Brunswick
- Nova Scotia

Indicators are risk-adjusted to control for potential confounding factors.

Trending Issues Since 2003, the number of facilities and jurisdictions submitting to CCRS has been increasing. With the addition of new jurisdictions, it is possible that differences in care practices may impact indicator rates; however, changes to the underlying population would be controlled for using risk-adjustment. There is also evidence to suggest that trending and use of data from the entire time series is not an issue and that data quality is consistent over time (Hirdes et al., 2013).

The CCRS quality indicators use 4 rolling quarters of data for calculations in order to have a sufficient number of assessments for risk adjustment.

Comments Since residents are assessed on a quarterly basis, each resident can contribute to the indicator up to 4 times.

Data for this indicator is also available in the Quick Stats tool, which includes results for both the residential and hospital-based continuing care sectors: <https://www.cihi.ca/sites/default/files/document/ccrs-quick-stats-2016-2017-en.xlsx>.